## **Original Paper**

# Age Variation Among US Adults' Social Media Experiences and Beliefs About Who Is Responsible for Reducing Health-Related Falsehoods: Secondary Analysis of a National Survey

Prathyusha Galinkala, BTech; Elise Atkinson, BSc; Celeste Campos-Castillo, PhD

Michigan State University, Media and Information, East Lansing, MI, United States

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Prathyusha Galinkala, BTech Michigan State University Media and Information 404 Wilson Rd East Lansing, MI, 48824 United States

Phone: 1 8183887141 Email: Galinkal@msu.edu

# **Abstract**

**Background:** We live in a digital age where social media has become an essential part of people's lives. It is also one of the leading platforms responsible for spreading health-related falsehoods. This study explores who adults of different age groups perceive as responsible for reducing health-related falsehoods on social media.

**Objective:** Despite growing concern over older adults' exposure to false health information on social media, little research examines their beliefs on how to address the problem. This study examines how the age of US adults is associated with their reported experiences with health-related falsehoods on social media and their beliefs about who should be tasked with reducing such falsehoods.

**Methods:** This study is a secondary analysis of data from the 2022 Health Information National Trends Survey, a nationally representative survey of US adults (18 years and older). Multivariable logistic regressions estimated how a respondent's age was associated with their self-reported social media use, their difficulty to detect health-related falsehoods on social media, their discussion of health information found on social media with medical providers, and their beliefs regarding who should be responsible for reducing health-related falsehoods on social media. Regression estimates were adjusted for respondents' sociodemographic and health characteristics.

**Results:** Daily social media use decreased with respondents' age. Respondents aged 50-64 years (b=0.515, P=.01) and 65-74 years (b=0.697, P=.002) were more likely than respondents aged 18-34 years to report they strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media. Compared to younger adults, older adults (65-74 years: b=0.818, P=.002; 75 years and older: b=1.058, P<.001) were more likely to believe medical providers should be responsible for reducing online falsehoods.

**Conclusions:** In addition to ongoing efforts by social media platforms to detect and remove falsehoods, the findings suggest medical providers should be tasked with discrediting health-related falsehoods on social media for older adults. However, time during the clinical visit is limited. Future research is needed to discover new approaches and tools tailored to older adults to assist with filtering and discrediting health-related falsehoods on social media.

JMIR Aging 2024;7:e56761; doi: 10.2196/56761

**Keywords:** social media; health misinformation; gray digital divide; United States; older adults; aging; health information; false information; falsehoods

## Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic heightened attention to concerns about the prevalence of false health-related information circulating on social media [1,2]. Of particular concern is exposure to falsehoods among older adults (≥65 years old), who generally have less experience with social media than younger adults [3]. Older adults are more inclined to interact with false information [4,5], perhaps due to their longer experience managing truths from both digital and nondigital news sources [6]. Compared to younger adults, older adults exhibit less confidence in discerning false information [7]. Altogether, this suggests older adults are more susceptible to harms stemming from health-related falsehoods on social media.

To reduce online falsehoods, various entities have adopted several measures, including the use of algorithms by social media companies to automatically detect falsehoods, but these are neither built nor deployed with older adults in mind [8,9]. Few studies examine the perspectives of older adults regarding their beliefs about the best ways to address falsehoods online. An exception is a Pew Research Center survey from 2021, in which older adults were the least likely to prefer social media platforms address online falsehoods [10]. However, it is unknown what older adults would prefer, especially given the COVID-19 pandemic potentially altering their adoption and use of information and communication technologies. To address this gap, we analyzed data collected in 2022 from a national sample of US adults to understand how respondents' age is associated with their social media experiences and their beliefs about the best way to address health-related falsehoods online. We discuss how the findings could be used to shape the policy-making process and to design interventions to assist older adults in filtering out falsehoods.

# Methods

## Sample

We derived data from the 2022 Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS), a cross-sectional survey by the National Cancer Institute to document how noninstitutionalized US adults (≥18 years old) access and process health information. Complete survey design details are available elsewhere [11]. Briefly, the survey was fielded from March to November 2022 and included 6242 respondents, with a final weighted response rate of 28.1%.

The outcomes of interest, which broadly assessed experiences with and beliefs about falsehoods on social media, were asked only of respondents who reported social media use (n=4415). Among these respondents, most missing values were for the item asking respondents their race and ethnicity (n=439), which we accounted for by including an indicator for those with a missing response. Respondents with missing values on other measures were dropped from the analysis. The final analytic sample comprised 3941 respondents

## Measures

We analyzed three survey items to characterize respondents' experiences with social media and falsehoods. Daily social media users were identified as those who reported they visited a social media site "Almost every day." Self-reported difficulty to detect health-related falsehoods was measured using their level of agreement with the item, "I find it hard to tell whether health information on social media is true or false." Discussion of social media with medical providers was determined based on their level of agreement with the item, "I use information from social media in discussions with my health care provider." The latter two items were ordinal variables with four response options each. Because the proportional odds assumption was violated, to simplify the table summaries, we present results in the main text that compare those selecting the modal response option (assessment: strongly agree; discussion: strongly disagree) to all others (assessment: somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree; discussion: somewhat disagree, somewhat agree, strongly agree). Multimedia Appendix 1 includes full results using partial proportional odds models to analyze the outcomes in their original ordinal form. Conclusions about older adults from the simplified models shown in the text are the same as those drawn from analyses that address the violation via estimating partial proportional odds models.

A fourth item determined respondents' beliefs regarding who should reduce health-related falsehoods on social media. The question posed was, "Who do you think has the main responsibility for reducing the amount of false or misleading health information on social media?" The five response options included news media, social media platforms, government, individual users, and medical providers. The respondents were limited to select only one option.

Respondents' age was measured using categories (18-34, 35-49, 50-64, 65-74, and ≥75 years). We adjusted estimates based on respondents' sociodemographic and health background. These were measures of respondents' sex (male, female), race and ethnicity (Black, Latino, White, other, missing), education (high school or less, some college, college graduate, and postgraduate), relationship status (single, divorced/widowed/separated, and married/cohabitating), and self-rated health (excellent, very good, good, fair, poor).

# Statistical Analyses

After characterizing the sample by examining frequencies and proportions, we estimated eight binary logit regressions to understand how respondents' age was associated with the outcomes of interest. The first three logit regressions estimated responses to items assessing participants' experiences with social media and health-related falsehoods. Because self-reported difficulty to detect falsehoods and discussion of social media information with providers may be less likely among more frequent social media users, we included being a daily social media user as a covariate for these regressions. The next five regressions estimated responses to the item assessing participants' beliefs about who should

be responsible for reducing health-related falsehoods on social media. The item had five possible options, and thus each regression estimated the likelihood of selecting a given option. Because one possible option was social media companies, we included being a daily social media user as a covariate for these regressions. All regressions adjusted estimates based on covariates. All analyses were weighted (except for the calculation of frequencies) with survey weights provided by the HINTS methodologists to approximate the US adult population, which accounted for the sampling design and nonresponses. Analyses were performed with Stata 18. Statistical significance is defined as P < .05, determined by a 2-tailed t test.

## Ethical Considerations

Ethics review for this study was waived because it is a secondary analysis of deidentified survey data.

## Results

# Sample Characteristics

Table 1 summarizes sample characteristics. Approximately 14.7% of the sample comprised older adults (≥65 years old).

Table 1. Sample characteristics.

Characteristic	Frequency, n (weighted %) <sup>a</sup>
Respondents reported they strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media	1338 (28.8)
Respondents reported they use social media daily	2771 (73.1)
Respondents reported they strongly disagree that they discuss social media information with health care practitioners	2312 (58.3)
Who should reduce falsehoods	
News media	473 (13.1)
Social media platforms	1452 (34)
Government	578 (15.5)
Individual users	843 (22.5)
Medical providers	595 (14.8)
Sex	
Female	2474 (53.2)
Male	2176 (46.8)
Age (years)	
18-34	747 (29)
35-49	966 (29)
50-64	1181 (27.3)
65-74	728 (10)
≥75	319 (4.7)
Education	
High school or less	782 (25.1)
Some college	1157 (39.6)
College graduate	1175 (20.3)
Postgraduate	827 (15)
Race and ethnicity	

The majority of the sample (73.1%) reported daily usage of social media. Around 58.3% of the respondents reported they strongly disagree that they discuss social media with their health care provider, and around 28.8% of the respondents reported they strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media. When considering responsibility for addressing health-related falsehoods on social media, approximately 13.1% of the sample believed the news media should take responsibility, 34% felt social media platforms were responsible, around 15.5% assigned the responsibility to the government, 22.5% believed individual users of social media should take action, and 14.8% believed it should be medical providers.

Characteristic	Frequency, n (weighted %) <sup>a</sup>
White	2183 (59.3)
Black	603 (11.1)
Latino	704 (17.3)
Other	348 (10.5)
Missing	103 (1.8)
Self-rated health is excellent, very good, or good	3295 (84.4)
Relationship status	
Single	821 (32.4)
Divorced, widowed, or separated	951 (10.1)
Married or cohabitating	2169 (57.5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Weighted frequencies were calculated using the weighted averages provided by the Health Information National Trends Survey.

# Logit Regressions

The binary logit regressions estimating how respondents' age was associated with their experiences with social media and health-related falsehoods are shown in Table 2. Older adults were significantly less likely to use social media daily than 18-34 year olds (65-74 year olds: b=-1.603, P<.001;  $\ge$ 75 year olds: b=-1.427, P<.001). Adults aged 35-49 years were

significantly more likely than those aged 50 to  $\geq$ 75 years to strongly disagree they discuss social media with their health care provider (b=0.309, P=.04). Adults aged 50-74 years old were significantly more likely than 18-34 year olds to strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media (50-64 years olds: b=0.515, P=.01; 65-74 years olds: b=0.697, P=.002).

Table 2. Binary logit regression predicting experiences with social media and falsehoods online.

	Respondents media daily	who reported	they use social	disagree th	at they discuss	d they strongly social media are practitioners	Respondents who reported they strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media				
Variable	$b^a$	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	b	SE	P value		
Female (vs male)	0.283	0.128	.03	-0.070	0.116	.55	0.198	0.154	.20		
Age (years; vs 18-3	34 years)										
35-49	-0.953	0.223	<.001	0.309	0.149	.04	0.140	0.148	.35		
50-64	-1.106	0.213	<.001	-0.100	0.150	.51	0.515	0.194	.01		
65-74	-1.603	0.274	<.001	0.118	0.138	.40	0.697	0.211	.002		
≥75	-1.427	0.262	<.001	-0.011	0.205	.96	0.422	0.235	.08		
Race and ethnicity	(vs White)										
Black	-0.342	0.192	.08	0.042	0.174	.81	-0.264	0.186	.16		
Latino	0.170	0.198	.40	-0.287	0.152	.06	-0.289	0.147	.054		
Other	-0.194	0.189	.31	-0.257	0.187	.18	-0.308	0.196	.12		
Missing	-0.636	0.321	.053	0.438	0.291	.14	0.633	0.375	.10		
Education (vs high	school or less)	)									
Some college	0.299	0.166	.08	-0.038	0.157	.81	0.358	0.161	.03		
College graduate	0.189	0.177	.29	-0.189	0.157	.23	0.383	0.166	.82		
Postgraduate	-0.074	0.181	.68	-0.243	0.176	.17	-0.418	0.195	.04		
Relationship status	(vs single)										
Divorced, widowed, or separated	-0.037	0.165	.82	0.269	0.170	.12	0.203	0.221	.36		
Married or cohabitating	0.083	0.154	.56	0.129	0.155	.41	0.028	0.175	.87		
Daily social media use	_b	_	_	-0.331	0.124	.01	-0.243	0.138	.86		

	Respondents media daily	who reported	they use social	disagree that	who reported t they discuss so with health care	ocial media	Respondents who reported they strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media			
Variable	$b^{\mathbf{a}}$	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	
Self-rated health is excellent, very good, and good	0.088	0.149	.56	-0.024	0.181	.90	0.234	0.160	.15	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>b: sample regression coefficient.

Table 2 also shows that, compared to respondents with high school or less education, postgraduates were less likely to strongly agree that it is difficult to detect health-related falsehoods on social media (b=-0.418, P=.04), while those with some college education were more likely to agree (b=0.358, P=.03). This pattern does not hold in the supplemental analyses in Multimedia Appendix 1.

Table 3 summarizes binary logit regressions estimating how respondents' age was associated with their beliefs about who should be responsible for reducing health-related

falsehoods on social media. Respondents aged 75 years and older were significantly less likely than 18-34 year olds to believe individual users are responsible for reducing online falsehoods (b=-0.888, P<.001). Compared to 18-34 year olds, older adults were significantly more likely to believe medical providers were responsible (65-74 year olds: b=0.818, P=.002;  $\geq$ 75 year olds: b=1.058, P<.001). Respondents' ages were unrelated to the likelihood of selecting any of the other three entities (news media, social media platforms, government) as responsible.

Table 3. Binary logit regressions predicting beliefs about who should reduce falsehoods online.

News media				Social	media pla	tforms	Government			Individu	ıal users		Medical providers		
Variable	b <sup>a</sup>	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	b	SE	P value
Female (vs male)	0.079	-0.19	.68	0.083	-0.117	.48	-0.109	-0.183	.55	-0.254	-0.139	.07	0.253	-0.173	.15
Age (years; v	s 18-34)														
35-49	-0.188	-0.29	.52	0.079	-0.178	.66	-0.102	-0.204	.62	0.1	-0.183	.59	-0.044	-0.259	.87
50-64	-0.061	-0.263	.82	- 0.012	-0.187	.95	0.078	-0.216	.72	-0.251	-0.185	.18	0.355	-0.198	.08
65-74	-0.405	-0.273	.14	0.25	-0.195	.21	-0.492	-0.266	.07	-0.464	-0.235	.054	0.818	-0.254	.002
≥75	0.084	-0.307	.79	- 0.138	-0.208	.51	-0.008	-0.341	.98	-0.888	-0.888	<.001	1.058	-0.223	<.001
Race and eth	nicity (vs	White)													
Black	-0.004	-0.252	.99	- 0.229	-0.153	.14	0.52	-0.267	.06	-0.213	-0.208	.31	0.12	-0.24	.62
Latino	0.08	-0.222	.72	- 0.134	-0.165	.42	0.607	-0.243	.02	-0.161	-0.196	.42	-0.332	-0.253	.20
Other	-0.196	-0.24	.42	- 0.332	-0.214	.13	0.5	-0.254	.054	-0.007	-0.27	.98	0.224	-0.524	.67
Missing	-0.926	-0.475	.06	- 0.136	-0.338	.69	0.845	-0.413	.046	0.255	-0.576	.66	-0.363	-0.43	.40
Education (ve	ersus high	school o	r less)												
Some college	-0.315	-0.256	.22	-0.32	-0.136	.02	0.072	-0.2	.72	0.575	-0.2	.006	0.107	-0.225	.64
College graduate	-0.67	-0.232	.006	- 0.035	-0.152	.82	-0.045	-0.204	.83	0.65	-0.222	.005	-0.103	-0.233	.66
Post graduate	-0.766	-0.27	.007	0.675	-0.186	.72	-0.081	-0.223	.72	0.687	-0.186	.001	-0.275	-0.204	.18
Relationship	status (ve	rsus singl	e)												
Divorced, widowed,	-0.113	-0.269	.68	0.205	-0.166	.22	-0.037	-0.21	.09	0.164	-0.207	.43	-0.457	-0.247	.07

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>As being a daily social media user was a covariate and the regression was between daily social media users against daily social media users, this did not generate any values.

	News n	nedia		Social media platforms			Government			Individual users			Medical providers		
Variable	b <sup>a</sup>	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	b	SE	P value	b	SE	P value
or separated															
Married or cohabitati ng	-0.087	-0.241	.72	0.497	-0.14	.72	-0.083	-0.195	.67	0.264	-0.171	.13	-0.292	-0.172	.10
Daily social media user	-0.254	-0.185	.18	0.145	-0.129	.27	0.131	-0.17	.44	-0.09	-0.147	.54	-0.025	-0.185	.90
Self-rated health is excellent, very good, and good	0.144	-0.202	.48	0.114	-0.16	.48	-0.383	-0.224	.09	0.184	-0.204	.37	-0.108	-0.19	.57

<sup>a</sup>b: sample regression coefficient.

Table 3 shows additional demographic patterns that were unrelated to our focus on age, but warrant detailing. Among these include educational differences. Specifically, college graduates (b=-0.670, P=.006) and postgraduates (b=-0.766, P=.007) were less likely than those with high school degree or less to feel that news media should be held responsible. Individuals reporting some college (b=0.575, P=.006), college graduate (b=0.650, P=.005), and postgraduate (b=0.687, P=.001), were more likely than people with high school or less education to believe that individual users should be held responsible for reducing health-related falsehoods online.

## Discussion

From the results, we see that adults aged 50 years or older reported that they strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media. Compared to younger adults (18-34 years old), older adults (≥65 years old) were more likely to believe medical providers should be responsible for reducing online falsehoods. Despite heightened scrutiny of the veracity of health information on social media during the COVID-19 pandemic, little research has examined the public's perspective on who should be held responsible for reducing the prevalence of falsehoods. In particular, such information would be useful from older adults because, compared to younger adults, they are both more likely to engage with falsehoods on social media and are less confident in deciphering its veracity [1,4-7,9]. We discuss implications stemming from our findings regarding the development of interventions to assist older adults with interpreting the health-related information they find on social media.

Consistent with other research [8,12], we found an age divide for who is likely to use social media. We found older adults were less likely to report using social media daily than younger adults. While the COVID-19 pandemic may have encouraged older adults to turn to social media for pandemic-related information [13-15], the age divide remains

among US adults in 2022. This may be due to continued barriers faced among older adults to learn new information and communication technologies [15].

Despite the age divide in social media use, we found that some experiences with health-related information on social media were comparable between older and younger adults. We found older adults were just as likely as younger adults (18-34 years old) to discuss information they found on social media with health care providers. Overall, only 58% of the respondents reported they strongly disagree that they discuss health information found on social media with medical providers, likely due to concerns about feeling embarrassed or receiving disapproval from the provider [16]. Where we found differences was in the reported difficulty in detecting falsehoods. Specifically, older adults were more likely than younger adults to report they strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media. This is concerning, especially given other research showing older adults are the most likely to be exposed to falsehoods online [5]. Thus, although older adults are less frequent users of social media than younger adults, they tend to be exposed to more falsehoods and are also less confident in their ability to detect them. Such a concentration of falsehood exposure and difficulty detecting falsehoods warrants seeking interventions tailored to this population.

To begin to identify how to tailor interventions, we turn to our final set of findings. Regarding beliefs in who should be responsible for reducing health-related falsehoods on social media, we found older adults were more likely than younger adults to choose medical providers. The differences may be due to older adults exhibiting higher levels of trust in medical providers [17]. Older adults are also more likely to turn to medical providers for health information than their younger counterparts [18]. We also found adults who were aged 75 years and older were less likely than younger adults to believe individual users should be responsible for reducing online falsehoods. This may be due to age differences in confidence in detecting falsehoods, as well as the differences in digital and health literacy skills necessary to curate

one's own social media experience [19]. We found no other associations between the respondents' age and the selection of any of the other three entities (news media, government, social media platforms). Altogether, these patterns suggest that, while the emphasis within the United States has been for social media platforms to reduce health-related falsehoods, medical providers may be key for designing interventions to assist older adults in identifying and debunking falsehoods.

Besides age, we found additional demographic variables were related to the outcomes studied. Results show that respondents with postgraduate, college graduate, and some college education are more likely than respondents with high school or less education to feel that individual users should be held responsible for reducing health-related falsehoods on social media. This could be in part because individuals who pursue higher education are more likely to exhibit prosocial behavior, which can be understood as an individual's responsibility to contribute to the social good [20]. We also see that college graduates and individuals with postgraduate education are less likely to feel that news media should be held responsible for removing health-related falsehoods online than respondents with a high school education or less. This could be due to people with a higher level of educational reporting they support freedom of the press, even if that means falsehoods can be published [21].

This study has a few limitations. Because this is a secondary analysis of survey data, and we are limited in the questions available, we cannot evaluate the mechanisms underlying why older adults prefer medical providers as being responsible for reducing falsehoods online. The questions of interest were only asked of those who are current social media users, and thus we cannot tell what the preferences are among those who do not currently use social media but may use it in the future. The analysis also relies on self-reported data, which may be subject to biases. Because the data are cross-sectional, we cannot distinguish age effects from cohort effects. Age effects refer to how a person's age can

biologically influence their physical, cognitive, emotional, and social experiences, while cohort effects refer to how the era in which a group of individuals is born can influence their experiences, behaviors, and attitudes. From the results, we see that adults aged 50-64 years and 65-74 years were more likely than younger adults to report they strongly agree that it is difficult for them to detect health-related falsehoods on social media. This finding could be explained by an age effect, perhaps due to age-related cognitive and physical challenges, or a cohort effect because older adults are from a generation that grew up with no internet and no social media [22]. Lastly, the survey was only available in English and Spanish, thereby missing the preferences of those who speak other languages.

Despite these limitations, this study's findings shed light on targeted interventions for assisting older adults in filtering falsehoods from the health-related information they encounter on social media. Social media platforms have sought to combat falsehoods by implementing algorithms, moderation teams, and options for users to report posts, but falsehoods persist. Only 58% of respondents reported discussing what they found on social media with their medical providers, suggesting an opportunity to spend more time with the small percentage of older adults on social media to discuss the health-related information they encounter. However, time during the clinic visit is limited. Other research has explored how medical providers can present themselves on social media to appear credible and combat falsehoods by sharing their own advice [23], but this still requires time on behalf of the provider. New technological tools may be needed [24], such as a mobile app endorsed by medical providers for older adults to confirm the accuracy of the health information they encounter online. Future research is needed to identify how best to build on our findings to develop and test targeted interventions for older adults that identify and discredit online falsehoods.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We acknowledge financial support from the College of Communication Arts and Sciences at Michigan State University.

#### **Data Availability**

The Health Information National Trends data are publicly available from the National Cancer Institute [25].

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.

#### **Multimedia Appendix 1**

Partial proportional odds model results.

[DOCX File (Microsoft Word File), 29 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

#### References

- 1. Wang Y, McKee M, Torbica A, Stuckler D. Systematic literature review on the spread of health-related misinformation on social media. Soc Sci Med. Nov 2019;240:112552. [doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.112552] [Medline: 31561111]
- 2. Cinelli M, Quattrociocchi W, Galeazzi A, et al. The COVID-19 social media infodemic. Sci Rep. Oct 6, 2020;10(1):16598. [doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-73510-5] [Medline: 33024152]
- 3. Charness N, Boot WR. A grand challenge for psychology: reducing the age-related digital divide. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 2022;31(2):187-193. [doi: 10.1177/09637214211068144] [Medline: 35754678]

4. Brashier NM, Schacter DL. Aging in an era of fake news. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. Jun 2020;29(3):316-323. [doi: 10.1177/0963721420915872] [Medline: 32968336]

- 5. Grinberg N, Joseph K, Friedland L, Swire-Thompson B, Lazer D. Fake news on Twitter during the 2016 U.S. presidential election. Science. Jan 25, 2019;363(6425):374-378. [doi: 10.1126/science.aau2706] [Medline: 30679368]
- 6. Munyaka INS, Hargittai E, Redmiles EM. Misinformation paradox: older adults are cynical about news media, but engage with it anyway. J Online Trust Saf. 2022;1(4). [doi: 10.54501/jots.v1i4.62]
- 7. Scherer LD, Pennycook G. Who is susceptible to online health misinformation? Am J Public Health. Oct 2020;110(S3):S276-S277. [doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2020.305908] [Medline: 33001736]
- 8. Choudrie J, Banerjee S, Kotecha K, Walambe R, Karende H, Ameta J. Machine learning techniques and older adults processing of online information and misinformation: a covid 19 study. Comput Hum Behav. Jun 2021;119:106716. [doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2021.106716] [Medline: 34866770]
- 9. Seo H, Blomberg M, Altschwager D, Vu HT. Vulnerable populations and misinformation: a mixed-methods approach to underserved older adults' online information assessment. New Media Soc. Jul 2021;23(7):2012-2033. [doi: 10.1177/1461444820925041]
- 10. Mitchell A, Walker M. More Americans now say government should take steps to restrict false information online than in 2018. Pew Research Center. 2021. URL: <a href="https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2021/08/18/more-americans-now-say-government-should-take-steps-to-restrict-false-information-online-than-in-2018">https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2021/08/18/more-americans-now-say-government-should-take-steps-to-restrict-false-information-online-than-in-2018</a> [Accessed 2024-11-04]
- 11. Methodology reports | HINTS. National Institutes of Health. 2022. URL: <a href="https://hints.cancer.gov/data/methodology-reports.aspx">https://hints.cancer.gov/data/methodology-reports.aspx</a> [Accessed 2024-11-04]
- 12. Anderson M, Perrin A. Tech adoption climbs among older adults. Pew Research Center. 2020. URL: <a href="https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2017/05/17/tech-adoption-climbs-among-older-adults/">https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2017/05/17/tech-adoption-climbs-among-older-adults/</a> [Accessed 2024-11-04]
- 13. Campos-Castillo C. Gender divides in engagement with COVID-19 information on the internet among U.S. older adults. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. Feb 17, 2021;76(3):e104-e110. [doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbaa133] [Medline: 32845009]
- 14. Lee S. Internet use and well-being of older adults before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: findings from European Social Survey. J Gerontol Soc Work. Jan 2024;67(1):96-113. [doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/01634372.2023.2217682">10.1080/01634372.2023.2217682</a>] [Medline: 37246398]
- 15. Li W, Ornstein KA, Li Y, Liu B. Barriers to learning a new technology to go online among older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Am Geriatr Soc. Nov 2021;69(11):3051-3057. [doi: 10.1111/jgs.17433] [Medline: 34409589]
- 16. Tan SSL, Goonawardene N. Internet health information seeking and the patient-physician relationship: a systematic review. J Med Internet Res. Jan 19, 2017;19(1):e9. [doi: 10.2196/jmir.5729] [Medline: 28104579]
- 17. Murray B, McCrone S. An integrative review of promoting trust in the patient-primary care provider relationship. J Adv Nurs. Jan 2015;71(1):3-23. [doi: 10.1111/jan.12502] [Medline: 25113235]
- 18. Swoboda CM, Van Hulle JM, McAlearney AS, Huerta TR. Odds of talking to healthcare providers as the initial source of healthcare information: updated cross-sectional results from the Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS). BMC Fam Pract. Aug 29, 2018;19(1):146. [doi: 10.1186/s12875-018-0805-7] [Medline: 30157770]
- 19. Choukou MA, Sanchez-Ramirez DC, Pol M, Uddin M, Monnin C, Syed-Abdul S. COVID-19 infodemic and digital health literacy in vulnerable populations: a scoping review. Dig Health. 2022;8:20552076221076927. [doi: 10.1177/20552076221076927] [Medline: 35223076]
- 20. Tendhar T, Mesquita P. Compassion education for prosocial behavior and well-being among college students. J Ment Health Soc Behav. 2020;2(2):115. [doi: 10.33790/jmhsb1100115]
- 21. Eddy K. Most americans say a free press is highly important to society. Pew Research Center. 2024. URL: <a href="https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2024/04/23/most-americans-say-a-free-press-is-highly-important-to-society/">https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2024/04/23/most-americans-say-a-free-press-is-highly-important-to-society/</a> [Accessed 2024-11-04]
- 22. Vercruyssen A, Schirmer W, Geerts N, Mortelmans D. How "basic" is basic digital literacy for older adults? Insights from digital skills instructors. Front Educ. 2023;8. [doi: 10.3389/feduc.2023.1231701]
- 23. Ferrell D, Campos-Castillo C. Factors affecting physicians' credibility on Twitter when sharing health information: online experimental study. JMIR Infodemiol. 2022;2(1):e34525. [doi: 10.2196/34525] [Medline: 37113807]
- 24. Swire-Thompson B, Lazer D. Public health and online misinformation: challenges and recommendations. Annu Rev Public Health. Apr 2, 2020;41:433-451. [doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094127] [Medline: 31874069]
- 25. Health Information National Trends Survey. National Cancer Institute. URL: <a href="https://hints.cancer.gov/">https://hints.cancer.gov/</a> [Accessed 2024-11-06]

### **Abbreviations**

**HINTS:** Health Information National Trends Survey

Edited by Yun Jiang; peer-reviewed by Brian Southwell, Christine C Whitmore; submitted 01.02.2024; final revised version received 10.10.2024; accepted 10.10.2024; published 27.11.2024

Please cite as:

Galinkala P, Atkinson E, Campos-Castillo C

Age Variation Among US Adults' Social Media Experiences and Beliefs About Who Is Responsible for Reducing Health-Related Falsehoods: Secondary Analysis of a National Survey

JMIR Aging 2024;7:e56761

URL: https://aging.jmir.org/2024/1/e56761

doi: 10.2196/56761

© Prathyusha Galinkala, Elise Atkinson, Celeste Campos-Castillo. Originally published in JMIR Aging (<a href="https://aging.jmir.org">https://aging.jmir.org</a>), 27.11.2024. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Aging, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <a href="https://aging.jmir.org">https://aging.jmir.org</a>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.